

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. COPY MAILED SUITE 2100 NOV 0 4 2004 AUSTIN, TX 78701

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Squires : DECISION ON PETITION Application No. 10/808,703 Filed: March 14, 2001 Attorney Docket No.: 044502.0017

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 13, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

This application became abandoned September 4, 2004 for failure to timely submit the issue fee in response to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee(s) Due ("Notice") mailed June 3, 2004. The Notice set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. This decision precedes Notice of Abandonment.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

The instant petition has been reviewed and found in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely submit a proper reply to the Notice is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes Application No. 09/808,703

to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filling the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This application will be forwarded to the Publications Division for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.

Aresia M. Brown Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

CC: FRANK C. EYMARD
ADAMS AND REESE LLP
4500 One Shell Square
New Orleans, Louisiana 70139